





Op3625 AF STU

TRANSMITTAL LETTER (General Patent Pending)				Docket No. 00-023									
In Re Application Of: JAY S. WALKER et al.													
Application No. 09/609,598	Filing Date June 29, 2000	Examiner Maria Theresa P. O. Thein	Customer No. 22927	Group Art Unit 3625	Confirmation No. 1725								
Title: SYSTEMS AND METHODS WHEREIN A BUYER PURCHASES PRODUCTS IN A PLURALITY OF PRODUCT													
<u>COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS:</u>													
Transmitted herewith is: Interview Summary, 2 pp.; and Return Receipt Postcard.													
in the above identified application.													
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No additional fee is required.													
<input type="checkbox"/> A check in the amount of _____ is attached.													
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Director is hereby authorized to charge and credit Deposit Account No. 50-0271 as described below.													
<input type="checkbox"/> Charge the amount of _____													
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Credit any overpayment.													
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Charge any additional fee required.													
<input type="checkbox"/> Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached.													
WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.													
 _____ Signature			Dated: November 5, 2004										
Jason A. Skinder Attorney for Applicants PTO Registration No. 47,094 Walker Digital, LLC 203.461.7017/phone 203.461.7300/fax JSkinder@WalkerDigital.com			<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"><tr><td colspan="2">I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the "Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] on November 5, 2004</td></tr><tr><td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;"> (Date)</td><td style="width: 50%;"></td></tr><tr><td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence Veronika S. Leliever</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence</td></tr></table>			I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the "Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] on November 5, 2004		 (Date)		Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence Veronika S. Leliever		Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the "Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] on November 5, 2004													
 (Date)													
Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence Veronika S. Leliever													
Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence													
CC:													



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Walker et al.

Application No.: 09/609,598

Filed: June 29, 2000

For: **SYSTEMS AND METHODS
WHEREIN A BUYER
PURCHASES PRODUCTS IN A
PLURALITY OF PRODUCT
CATEGORIES**

Customer No. 22927

) Group Art Unit: 3625

)
) Examiner: Maria Teresa P.O. Thein
) (703) 305-5246
) (703) 746-9169 /fax

) **INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

) Attorney Docket No. 00-023

) Walker Digital, LLC
) 203-461-7041/voice
) 203-461-7300/fax

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Dear Sir:

Applicants' attorneys, Magdalena Fincham and Jason Skinder, spoke with Examiner Thein and Examiner Michael Cuff with respect to characterization of the cited references and the Examiner's understanding of the scope of the current claims.

Specifically, the Applicants' attorneys discussed USPN 6,285,986 issued to Andrews as the Examiner had applied the teachings of the reference to the claims in the Final Office Action, dated April 13, 2004. For purposes of brevity, Applicants' attorneys restricted discussion to the limitations of Claim 1. Applicants' attorneys requested clarification of the Examiner's characterization of Andrews and clarification of which sections Andrews taught or suggested the limitations:

- *Receiving buyer offer information, including an indication of an offer amount associated with the plurality of product categories*
- *Selecting, by an entity other than a buyer, a subset of the plurality of products for each of the product categories*


The Examiner stated that amending the claims to explicitly indicate the timing of the above-quoted steps would overcome the current rejections. The Examiners both indicated that the implicit timing of the steps should be made explicit by amending the “selecting” limitation to explicitly take place after the “buyer offer information” limitation.

Further, the Examiner stated that amending the claims to include a computer or processor to carryout the method steps would likely be required to avoid a statutory subject matter rejection.

If the Examiner has any questions regarding this interview summary or the present application, the Examiner is cordially requested to contact Jason Skinder at telephone number 203-461-7017 or via electronic mail at jskinder@walkerdigital.com.

November 5, 2004
Date

Respectfully submitted,



Jason Skinder
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 47,094
jskinder@WalkerDigital.com
203-461-7017 / voice
203-461-7300 / fax